arXiv:1410.7533v4 [hep-th] 8 Oct 2015

A first-class approach of higher derivative Maxwell-Chern-Simons Proca model

S. C. Sararu*
Department of Physics, University of Craiova
13 Al. I. Cuza Str., Craiova 200585, Romania

Abstract

The equivalence between a higher derivative extension of Maxwell-Chern-Simons Proca model and some gauge invariant theories from the point of view of the Hamiltonian path integral quantization in the framework of gauge-unfixing approach is investigated. The Hamiltonian path integrals of the first-class systems take manifestly Lorentz-covariant forms.

1 Introduction

The quantization of a second-class constrained system can be achieved by the reformulation of the original theory as a first-class one and then quantizing the resulting first-class theory. This quantization procedure was applied to various models [1–18] using a variety of methods to replace the original second-class model to an equivalent model in which only first-class constraints appear. The conversion of the original second-class system into an equivalent gauge invariant theory can be accomplished without enlarging the phase space, starting from the possibility of interpreting a second-class constraints set as resulting from a gauge-fixing procedure of a first-class constraints one and "undo" gauge-fixing [19–23]. The gauge-unfixing method relies on separating the second-class constraints into two subsets, one of them being first-class and the other one providing some canonical gauge conditions for the first-class subset. Starting from the canonical Hamiltonian of the original second-class system, we construct a first-class Hamiltonian with respect to the first-class subset through an operator that projects any smooth function defined on the phase space into an application that is in strong involution with the first-class subset. Another method to construct the equivalent first-class theory relies on an appropriate extension of the original phase space through the introduction of some new variables. The first-class constraints set and the first-class Hamiltonian are constructed as power series in the new variables [24–27]. Various aspects of the equivalence [28] between self-dual model [29] and Maxwell-Chern-Simons (MCS)

*e-mail address: scsararu@central.ucv.ro

theory [30, 31] have been studied using one of the two methods mentioned in the above [17, 32–34]. A generalization of the Proca action for a massive vector field with derivative self-interactions in $D = 4$ has been constructed in [35]. In [36–40] one finds higher derivative extensions that involve the Maxwell and/or Chern-Simons (CS) terms [28–30]. The Lagrangian of such model is the sum of Maxwell, CS and higher derivative extensions of these terms. The generalized MCS-Podolsky model [38, 41] is a such theory and was introduced in order to smooth ultraviolet singularities. Starting from the observation that the study of Einstein-Chern-Simons Proca massive gravity (ECSPMG) (the Lagrangian of ECSPMG is the sum of Einstein, (third derivative order) CS and Proca-like mass terms) [42] is often accompanied [39, 40, 43] by the analysis of the MCS-Proca model (a non-higher derivative model) [37, 38, 43–45], we consider a model described by Lagrangian action containing the Maxwell term, a higher derivative extension of the CS topological invariant [36] and Proca mass term

$$S = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}A^{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A^\mu\right)\partial^\nu A^\rho - \frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu \right]. \quad (1)$$

and we investigate from the point of view of the Hamiltonian path integral quantization using the gauge-unfixing (GU) approach the previous higher derivative extension of the MCS-Proca model. The choice of the extended MCS-Proca (MECS-Proca) model will become more transparent in the subsection 3.1 where we will find that between the extended MCS-Proca (MECS-Proca) model and ECSPMG theory they are same similarities regarding to the number of physical degrees of freedom and the presence of ghosts and tachyon excitations. In order to construct an equivalent first-class system starting from the MECS-Proca model in the framework of the GU approach, we need to know the structure of the constraints set of the model. As the second term in the action (1) contains higher derivative terms $\left\{\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A_\mu\right\}$, the canonical analysis will be done by a variant of Ostrogradsky method [46–51] developed in Ref. [52], based on an equivalent first order formalism [53, 54] and applied to a number of particle and field theoretic models [52, 55–57]. The Hamiltonian analysis of a higher derivative extension of a theory displays a constraints set with a more complicated structure than the constraints set of the usual theory (where Lagrangian is function of the fields and their first derivatives only). The separation of a second-class constraints set with a complicated structure in two subsets (one of them being first-class and the other one providing some canonical gauge conditions for the first-class subset) is an intricate issue. In general, in the structure of the constraints set of the higher derivative extension we find a reminiscence of the structure of the constraints set of the usual theory. In order to do more transparent the approach of the MECS-Proca model, initially we consider the MCS-Proca model and we apply the quantization procedure mentioned in the above. Next, we focus on the Hamiltonian analysis of the MECS-Proca model and the construction of the equivalent first-class system using gauge-unfixing method. Then, we construct the Hamiltonian path integral of the equivalent first-class system. After integrating out the auxiliary fields and performing

some field redefinitions, we discover the manifestly Lorentz covariant path integral corresponding to the Lagrangian formulation of the first-class system, which reduce to the Lagrangian path integral for Stückelberg coupling between a scalar field and a 1-form or to the Lagrangian path integral for two kinds of 1-forms with CS coupling.

The paper is organized in four sections. In section 2, starting from MCS-Proca model we construct an equivalent first-class model using gauge-unfixing method and meanwhile we obtain the path integral corresponding to the first-class system associated with this model. Section 3 contains the main results of the present paper. Firstly, we perform Hamiltonian analysis and study the excitations and mass counts of the MECS-Proca model. Secondly, we exemplify in detail the gauge-unfixing method on MECS-Proca model and then we construct the path integral of the equivalent first-class system associated with this second-class theory. Section 4 ends the paper with the main conclusions.

2 The MCS-Proca model

The MCS-Proca model is described by the Lagrangian action [37, 38, 43–45]

$$S = \int d^3x \left(-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}A^{\nu]} - b\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}A^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}A^{\rho} - \frac{m^2}{2}A_{\mu}A^{\mu} \right), \tag{2}$$

where a and b are some real constants. We work with the Minkowski metric tensor of 'mostly minus' signature $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = diag(+--)$. The canonical analysis [58,59] of the model described by the Lagrangian action (2) displays the second-class constraints (scc)

$$\chi^{(1)} \equiv p^0 \approx 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\chi^{(2)} \equiv \partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - m^2 A^0 \approx 0, \tag{4}$$

and the canonical Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_c = & \int d^2x \left(-\frac{1}{2a}p_i p^i - A_0\partial_i p^i + \frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} \right. \\ & \left. + b\varepsilon_{0ij}A^0\partial^i A^j + \frac{b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}A^i p^j - \frac{b^2}{2a}A_i A^i + \frac{m^2}{2}A_{\mu}A^{\mu} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where p^{μ} are the canonical momenta conjugated with the fields A_{μ}. The number of physical degrees of freedom [21] of the original system is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_O &= (6 \text{ canonical variables} - 2 \text{ scc})/2 \\ &= 2. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The same result, with respect to the number of degrees of freedom, is obtained in Refs. [43, 44]. Moreover, in Refs. [43, 44] it is shown that the MCS-Proca model describes a topological mass mix with two massive degrees of freedom, with masses $\sqrt{b^2 + m^2} \pm |b|$.

According to the GU method, we consider the constraint (4) as the first-class constraint (fcc) and the remaining constraint (3) as the corresponding canonical gauge condition. Further, we redefine the first-class constraint as

$$G \equiv -\frac{1}{m^2}\left(\partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - m^2 A^0\right) \approx 0. \tag{7}$$

The other choice, considering the constraint (3) as the first-class constraint and the constraint (4) as the corresponding canonical gauge condition, yields a path integral that cannot be written (after integrating out auxiliary variables) in a manifestly covariant form [11, 14]. The next step of the GU approach is represented by the construction of a first-class Hamiltonian with respect to the constraint (7)

$$H_{GU} = H_c - \chi^{(1)}[G, H_c] + \frac{1}{2}\chi^{(1)}\chi^{(1)}[G,[G,H_c]] - \cdots . \tag{8}$$

The concrete form of first-class Hamiltonian, H_{GU} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GU} &= \int d^2x \left[-\frac{1}{2a}p_i p^i + \frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} + \frac{b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}A^i p^j \right. \\ &\quad -\frac{b^2}{2a}A_i A^i - \frac{m^2}{2}A_0 A^0 - A_0\left(\partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - m^2 A^0\right) \\ &\quad \left. +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m}\partial_i p_0 + mA_i\right)\left(\frac{1}{m}\partial^i p_0 + mA^i\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

It can be verified that the Hamiltonian gauge algebra relation is given by

$$[G, H_{GU}] = 0. \tag{10}$$

The equations of motion are

$$\dot{A}_0 = -\partial_i\left(A^i + \frac{1}{m^2}\partial^i p^0\right), \tag{11}$$

$$\dot{A}_i = -\frac{1}{a}\left(p_i + b\varepsilon_{0ij}A^j\right) + \partial_i A_0 + \frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\Lambda, \tag{12}$$

$$\dot{p}^0 = \partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - m^2 A^0 - \Lambda, \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}^i &= a\partial_j\partial^{[j}A^{i]} - \frac{b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(p^j + b\varepsilon^{0jk}A_k\right) \\ &\quad -m^2\left(A^i + \frac{1}{m^2}\partial^i p^0\right) - b\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^j A^0 - \frac{b}{m^2}\varepsilon^0 ij\partial_j\Lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where Λ is an arbitrary function. Under the canonical gauge condition $p^0 \approx 0$, ($\Lambda = 0$) the equations (11)–(14) return to the equations of motion for the MCS-Proca model. The number of physical degrees of freedom of the GU system is equal to

$$\mathcal{N}_{GU} = (6 \text{ canonical variables} - 2 \times 1 \text{ fcc})/2$$

$$= \quad 2 = \mathcal{N}_O. \tag{15}$$

The original second-class theory and respectively the gauge-unfixed system are classically equivalent since they possess the same number of physical and, moreover, the corresponding algebras of classical observables are isomorphic. Consequently, the two systems become equivalent at the level of the path integral quantization, which allows us to replace the Hamiltonian path integral of the MCS-Proca model with that of the gauge-unfixed first-class system

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{GU} &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_\mu, p^\mu, \lambda\right) \mu\left([A_\mu]\right) \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left[\left(\partial_0 A_\mu\right) p^\mu \right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. -\mathcal{H}_{GU} + \frac{1}{m^2}\lambda\left(\partial^i p_i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - m^2 A^0\right)\right]\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where the integration measure '$\mu\left([A_\mu]\right)$' associated with the model subject to the first-class constraint (7) includes some suitable canonical gauge conditions and it is chosen such that path integral (16) is convergent [60].

Performing in the path integral the notation

$$\bar{A}_0 = A_0 + \frac{1}{m^2}\lambda, \tag{17}$$

and partial integrations over the momentum p^i and field A_0, the argument of the exponential takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_{GU} &= \int d^3x \left[-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} - \frac{a}{2}\left(\partial_0 A_i - \partial_i \bar{A}_0\left(\partial^0 A^i - \partial^i \bar{A}^0\right)\right) \right. \\ &-b\varepsilon_{0ij}\bar{A}^0\partial^i A^j - b\varepsilon_{i0j}A^i\partial^0 A^j - b\varepsilon_{ij0}A^i\partial^j \bar{A}^0 \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m}\partial_i p_0 + mA_i\right)\left(\frac{1}{m}\partial^i p^0 + mA^i\right) \\ &\left. -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m}\partial_0 p_0 + m\bar{A}_0\right)\left(\frac{1}{m}\partial^0 p^0 + m\bar{A}^0\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In terms of the notation $\varphi = -\frac{1}{m}p^0$, the last functional reads as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{GU} &= \int d^3x \left[-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\bar{A}_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\bar{A}^{\nu]} - b\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\bar{A}^\mu\partial^\nu\bar{A}^\rho \right. \\ &\left. -\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\varphi - m\bar{A}_\mu\right)\left(\partial^\mu\varphi - m\bar{A}^\mu\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $\bar{A}_\mu \equiv \left\{\bar{A}_0, A_i\right\}$ and describes a Stückelberg coupling between the scalar field φ and the 1-form $\bar{A}_\mu$ [61]. The scalar field φ play the role of Stückelberg scalar. Using the extended phase space method [24–27] in [3–5, 9, 10] a similar result (for $a = 0$ or $b = 0$) has been obtained. The extrafield of the extended phase space method was identified with Stückelberg scalar. In contrast, in the GU approach we find that to Stückelberg scalar corresponds $-\frac{1}{m}p^0$, where p^0 is canonical momentum conjugated with the original field A_0.

In the following we prove that starting from the Hamiltonian path integral of the gauge system (19) with a suitable gauge we recover the MCS-Proca model. The canonical analysis of the model described by the Lagrangian action (2) displays the first-class constraints

$$G_1 \equiv p^0 \approx 0, \quad G_2 \equiv \partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - mp \approx 0, \tag{20}$$

and the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^2x \left[-\frac{1}{2a} p_i p^i - A_0 \partial_i p^i + \frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} + b\varepsilon_{0ij}A^0\partial^i A^j + \frac{b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}A^i p^j \right. \\ &\left. -\frac{b^2}{2a}A_i A^i - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + mA^0 p + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\varphi - mA_i\right)\left(\partial^i\varphi - mA^i\right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\{p^\mu, p\}$ are the canonical momenta conjugated with the fields $\{A_\mu, \varphi\}$. Taking

$$C^1 \equiv \varphi \approx 0, \quad C^2 \equiv -p + mA_0 \approx 0, \tag{22}$$

as the unitary gauge-fixing conditions, the Hamiltonian path integral is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_\mu, p^\mu, \varphi, p\right) \delta\left(G_1\right) \delta\left(G_2\right) \delta\left(C^1\right) \delta\left(C^2\right) \\ &\times \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left[\left(\partial_0 A_\mu\right) p^\mu + \left(\partial_0\varphi\right) p - \mathcal{H} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Integrating over the momentum p^0 and fields $\{\varphi, A_0\}$ and representing $\delta\left(\partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - mp\right)$ in the form of integral functional

$$\int \mathcal{D}\lambda \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left[\lambda\left(\partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - mp\right) \right] \right\}, \tag{24}$$

the path integral takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_i, p^i, p, \lambda\right) \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left[\left(\partial_0 A_i\right) p^i + \frac{1}{2a} p_i p^i + \frac{1}{m} p\partial_i p^i \right. \right. \\ &-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} - \frac{b}{m}\varepsilon_{0ij}p\partial^i A^j - \frac{b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}A^i p^j + \frac{b^2}{2a}A_i A^i \\ &\left. \left. -\frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}A_i A^i + \lambda\left(\partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i A_j - mp\right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Performing in the path integral the notation

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{m}p + \lambda, \tag{26}$$

the argument of the exponential becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_\mu, p^i, p\right) \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left[\left(\partial_0 A_i\right) p^i + \frac{1}{2a} p_i p^i \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}-\frac{b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}A^{i}p^{j}-\frac{b^{2}}{2a}A_{i}A^{i}+\frac{1}{2}p^{2}$$
$$-\frac{m^{2}}{2}A_{i}A^{i}+A_{0}\left(\partial_{i}p^{i}-b\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_{i}A_{j}-mp\right)\Big]\Big\}. \tag{27}$$

After integration over the momenta p^i and p, we find that the argument of the exponential is just the MCS-Proca Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}A_{\mu}\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\int d^{3}x\left(-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}A^{\nu]}\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left.-b\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}A^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}A^{\rho}-\frac{m^{2}}{2}A_{\mu}A^{\mu}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The MCS-Proca model can be correlated to another first-class theory whose field spectrum comprise two types of 1-form gauge fields. For this purpose we consider the following fields/momenta combinations

$$\mathcal{P}_{i}\equiv p_{i}+b\varepsilon_{0ij}A^{j},\quad \mathcal{F}_{i}\equiv A_{i}+\frac{1}{m^{2}}\partial_{i}p_{0},\quad \mathcal{F}_{0}\equiv A_{0}, \tag{29}$$

which are in (strong) involution with the first-class constraint (7)

$$[\mathcal{P}_{i},G]=[\mathcal{F}_{i},G]=[\mathcal{F}_{0},G]=0. \tag{30}$$

We observe that the first-class Hamiltonian (9) can be written in terms of these gauge invariant quantities as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GU} &= \int d^{2}x\left[-\frac{1}{2a}\mathcal{P}_{i}\mathcal{P}^{i}+\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}\mathcal{F}_{j]}\partial^{[i}\mathcal{F}^{j]}\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{m^{2}}{2}\mathcal{F}_{i}\mathcal{F}^{i}-\frac{m^{2}}{2}\mathcal{F}_{0}\mathcal{F}^{0}+m^{2}\mathcal{F}_{0}G\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By direct computation we find that $\mathcal{F}_{\mu}\equiv\{\mathcal{F}_{0},\mathcal{F}_{i}\}$ satisfy the equations

$$\partial^{\nu}\partial_{[\nu}\mathcal{F}_{0]} = \frac{m^{2}}{a}\mathcal{F}_{0}+\frac{2b}{a}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^{i}\mathcal{F}^{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(G\right), \tag{32}$$

$$\partial^{\nu}\partial_{[\nu}\mathcal{F}_{i]} = \frac{m^{2}}{a}\mathcal{F}_{i}+\frac{2b}{a^{2}}\varepsilon_{0ij}\mathcal{P}^{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(G\right), \tag{33}$$

and is divergenceless

$$\partial^{\mu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu}=0. \tag{34}$$

Enlarging the phase space by adding some bosonic canonical variables $\{V^{\mu},P_{\mu}\}$, we can write the solution to the Eq. (34) as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu}=-\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\partial^{\nu}V^{\rho}. \tag{35}$$

When we replace the solution (35) in the first-class constraint (7), the constraint takes the form

$$-\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left(\partial^{i}p_{i}-b\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^{i}A^{j}+m\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^{i}V^{j}\right)\approx 0, \tag{36}$$

and remains first-class. From the gauge transformation of the quantity $\partial_i p_0$, we obtain that

$$\partial_i p_0 = m\varepsilon_{0ij}P^j. \tag{37}$$

Using the relations (35) and (37) in the first-class Hamiltonian (9), we obtain for the first-class Hamiltonian the following form

$$\begin{aligned} H'_{GU} &= \int d^2x \left[\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} - \frac{1}{4}\partial_{[i}V_{j]}\partial^{[i}V^{j]}\right. \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2a}\left(p_i + b\varepsilon_{0ij}A^j\right)\left(p^i + b\varepsilon^{0ik}A_k\right) \\ &\quad +\frac{m^2}{2}\left(A_i + \frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0ij}P^j\right)\left(A_i + \frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ik}P_k\right) \\ &\quad \left.+\frac{1}{2m}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^{[i}V^{j]}\left(\partial_k p^k - b\varepsilon_{0kl}\partial^k A^l + m\varepsilon_{0kl}\partial^k V^l\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

In this moment we have a dynamical system with the phase space locally parameterized by $\left\{A_i, p^i, V^\mu, P_\mu\right\}$, subject to the first-class constraint (36) and too many degrees of freedom

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}'_{GU} &= (10 \text{ canonical variables} - 2\times 1 \text{ fcc})/2 \\ &= 4 \neq \mathcal{N}_{GU}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

In order to cut the two extra degrees of freedom, we impose in addition to the first-class constraint (36) two supplementary first-class constraints

$$-\partial_i P^i \approx 0, \qquad P^0 \approx 0, \tag{40}$$

and we obtain a first-class system with a right number of physical degrees of freedom

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}'_{GU} &= (10 \text{ canonical variables} - 2\times 3 \text{ fcc})/2 \\ &= 2 = \mathcal{N}_{GU}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Since the number of physical degrees of freedom is the same for both first-class theories and for each of them we are able to identify a set of fundamental classical observables such that they are in one-to-one correspondence and possess the same Poisson brackets, the first-class theories are equivalent. As a result, the GU and the first-class systems remain equivalent also at the level of the Hamiltonian path integral quantization. This further implies that the first-class system is completely equivalent with the original second-class theory. Due to this equivalence we can replace the Hamiltonian path integral of MCS-Proca model with that one associated with the first-class system

$$\begin{aligned} Z' &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_i, V^\mu, p^i, P_\mu, \lambda' s\right)\mu\left([A_i],[V^\mu]\right) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\int d^3x\left[\left(\partial_0 A_i\right)p^i + \left(\partial_0 V^\mu\right)P_\mu - \mathcal{H}'_{GU}\right.\right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+\lambda^{(1)}\partial_i P^i - \lambda^{(2)}P^0 + \frac{1}{m^2}\lambda\left(\partial_i p^i - b\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j + m\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i V^j\right)\Big]\Big\} \quad (42)$$

If we perform in path integral the partial integrations over $\left\{V^0, p_i, P_\mu, \lambda^{(2)}\right\}$ and use the notations

$$\bar{A}_0 = \frac{1}{m^2}\left(\lambda - \frac{m}{2}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^{[i}V^{j]}\right), \qquad \bar{V}_0 = \lambda^{(1)}, \quad (43)$$

the argument of the exponential becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{GU} &= \int d^3x \Big[-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} - \frac{a}{2}\left(\partial_0 A_i - \partial_i\bar{A}_0\right)\left(\partial^0 A^i - \partial^i\bar{A}^0\right) \\ &\quad -b\varepsilon_{0ij}\bar{A}^0\partial^i A^j - b\varepsilon_{i0j}A^i\partial^0 A^j - b\varepsilon_{ij0}A^i\partial^j\bar{A}^0 \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\partial_{[i}V_{j]}\partial^{[i}V^{j]} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_0 V_i - \partial_i\bar{V}_0\right)\left(\partial^0 V^i - \partial^i\bar{V}^0\right) \\ &\quad +m\varepsilon_{0ij}\bar{A}^0\partial^i V^j + m\varepsilon_{i0j}A^i\partial^0 V^j + m\varepsilon_{ij0}A^i\partial^j\bar{V}^0\Big]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

The argument of the exponential takes a manifestly Lorentz-covariant form

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{GU} &= \int d^3x \Big(-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\bar{A}_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\bar{A}^{\nu]} - b\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\bar{A}^\mu\partial^\nu\bar{A}^\rho \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\bar{V}_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\bar{V}^{\nu]} + m\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\bar{A}^\mu\partial^\nu\bar{V}^\rho\Big), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\bar{A}_\mu \equiv \left\{\bar{A}_0, A_i\right\}$ and $\bar{V}_\mu \equiv \left\{\bar{V}_0, V_i\right\}$. The functional (45) associated with the first-class system describes a CS coupling between the two 1-forms, $\bar{A}_\mu$ and $\bar{V}_\mu$ [62].

3 The higher derivative MCS-Proca model

3.1 Hamiltonian analysis of the MECS-Proca model

The starting point of the approach developed in [52] consists in converting the original higher derivative theory to an equivalent first order theory by introducing new fields to account for higher derivative terms. To pass from the higher derivative theory to a first order one, we define the variables B_μ as

$$B_\mu = \partial_0 A_\mu, \quad (46)$$

and enforce the Lagrangian constraints

$$B_\mu - \partial_0 A_\mu = 0, \quad (47)$$

by Lagrange multiplier ξ^μ

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} - \frac{a}{2}\left(B_i - \partial_i A_0\right)\left(B^i - \partial^i A^0\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\partial_0 B^0+\partial_k\partial^k A^0\right)\partial^i A^j+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_0 B^i+\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)B^j$$
$$+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_0 B^i+\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)\partial^j A^0-\frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu+\xi^\mu\left(B_\mu-\partial_0 A_\mu\right). \quad (48)$$

From the definitions of the canonical momenta $\{\Pi_\mu, p^\mu, \pi^\mu\}$ conjugate to the fields $\{\xi^\mu, A_\mu, B_\mu\}$

$$\Pi_\mu=\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{\xi}^\mu},\quad p^\mu=\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{A}_\mu},\quad \pi^\mu=\frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{B}_\mu}, \quad (49)$$

we obtain the primary constraints

$$\Phi^{(\xi)}_\mu\equiv\Pi_\mu\approx 0, \quad (50)$$
$$\Phi^{(A)\mu}\equiv p^\mu+\xi^\mu\approx 0, \quad (51)$$
$$\Phi^{(B)}_i\equiv\pi_i+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j-\partial^j A^0\right)\approx 0, \quad (52)$$
$$\Phi^{(B)}\equiv\pi_0-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j\approx 0. \quad (53)$$

If we write the primary constraints (52)–(53) in an equivalent form

$$\Phi'^{(B)}_i\equiv\pi_i+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j-\partial^j A^0\right)-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^j\Pi^0\approx 0, \quad (54)$$
$$\Phi'^{(B)}\equiv\pi_0-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i\Pi^j\approx 0, \quad (55)$$

the nonvanishing elements of the algebra of the primary constraints (pc) are

$$\left[\Phi^{(\xi)}_\mu(x),\Phi^{(A)\nu}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=-\delta^\nu_\mu\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \quad (56)$$
$$\left[\Phi'^{(B)}_i(x),\Phi'^{(B)}_j(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=\frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}). \quad (57)$$

The canonical Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}H_c &= \int d^2x\left(\Pi_\mu\dot{\xi}^\mu+p^\mu\dot{A}_\mu+\pi^\mu\dot{B}_\mu-\mathcal{L}\right)\Big|_{\{pc\}}\\ &= \int d^2x\left[\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}+\frac{a}{2}\left(B_i-\partial_i A_0\right)\left(B^i-\partial^i A^0\right)\right.\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^0\right)\partial^i A^j-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)B^j\\ &\quad \left.-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)\partial^j A^0-\xi^\mu B_\mu+\frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu\right], \quad (58)\end{aligned}$$

and total Hamiltonian is

$$H_T=H_c+\int d^2x\left(u^{(\xi)\mu}\Phi^{(\xi)}_\mu+u^{(A)}_\mu\Phi^{(A)\mu}+u^{(B)i}\Phi'^{(B)}_i+u^{(B)}\Phi'^{(B)}\right), \quad (59)$$

where $\left\{u^{(\xi)\mu}, u_{\mu}^{(A)}, u^{(B)i}, u^{(B)}\right\}$ are Lagrange multipliers.

The consistency of the primary constraints (50), (51), (54) leads to the determination of the Lagrange multipliers $\left\{u^{(\xi)\mu}, u_{\mu}^{(A)}, u^{(B)i}\right\}$, while the consistency of the remaining primary constraint $\Phi'^{(B)} \approx 0$ generate the secondary constraint

$$\Phi_{II}^{(B)} \equiv \xi_0 - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i B^j \approx 0. \tag{60}$$

The consistency of the secondary constraint yields the tertiary constraint

$$\Phi_{III}^{(B)} \equiv \partial_i \xi^i + m^2 A_0 - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k \partial^k \partial^i A^j \approx 0. \tag{61}$$

Conserving the constraint $\Phi_{III}^{(B)} \approx 0$ we get the quartic constraint

$$\Phi_{IV}^{(B)} \equiv m^2 \partial_i A^i + m^2 B_0 \approx 0. \tag{62}$$

The consistency condition of the quartic constraint $\Phi_{IV}^{(B)} \approx 0$ determines the multiplier $u^{(B)}$ and no more new constraint is produced.

The constraints (50), (51), (54), (55) and (60)–(62) are second-class and irreducible. The nonzero Poisson brackets among the constraints functions read as

$$\left[\Phi_{\mu}^{(\xi)}(x), \Phi^{(A)\nu}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = -\delta_{\mu}^{\nu}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{63}$$

$$\left[\Phi_{\mu}^{(\xi)}(x), \Phi_{II}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = -\delta_{\mu}^{0}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{64}$$

$$\left[\Phi_{\mu}^{(\xi)}(x), \Phi_{III}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = \delta_{\mu}^{i}\partial_i\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{65}$$

$$\left[\Phi^{(A)0}(x), \Phi_{III}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = -m^2\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{66}$$

$$\left[\Phi^{(A)i}(x), \Phi_{III}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial_j\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{67}$$

$$\left[\Phi^{(A)\mu}(x), \Phi_{IV}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = m^2\delta_i^{\mu}\partial^i\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{68}$$

$$\left[\Phi'^{(B)}(x), \Phi_{IV}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = -m^2\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{69}$$

$$\left[\Phi_i'^{(B)}(x), \Phi_j'^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = \frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{70}$$

$$\left[\Phi_i'^{(B)}(x), \Phi_{II}^{(B)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = \frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^j\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}). \tag{71}$$

The number of physical degrees of freedom of the original system is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{N}}_O &= (18 \text{ canonical variables} - 12 \text{ scc})/2 \\ &= 3.\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

We notice that the number of physical degrees of freedom of the extended model is higher than the number of physical degrees of freedom of the MCS-Proca model

$$\bar{\mathcal{N}}_O > \mathcal{N}_O, \tag{73}$$

This result was expected due to the higher derivative nature of the MECS-Proca model. In addition the number of physical degrees of freedom of MECS-Proca model coincides with that of the ECSPMG theory.

The analyze of the excitations and mass counts of the MECS-Proca model reveal the fact that if the sign of the Maxwell term is the usual one then the excitation masses will be complex, with the wrong sign the reality of the excitation masses will be restored for a known condition satisfied by parameters b and m, but the model faces ghost problems. The action (1) can be rewritten in terms of the the transverse operator $\theta_{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\Box}$, longitudinal operators $\omega_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\Box}$ and the operator associated with the topological term $S_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\rho\nu}\partial^\rho$ like

$$S = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} A^\mu \mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu} A^\nu, \tag{74}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mu\nu} = \left(a\Box - m^2\right)\theta_{\mu\nu} - m^2\omega_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{b}\Box S_{\mu\nu}$. The propagator in the momentum space for the MECS-Proca model is

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{ak^2 + m^2}{\left(ak^2 + m^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{b^2}k^6}\theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{m^2}\omega_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\frac{1}{b}k^2}{\left(ak^2 + m^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{b^2}k^6}S_{\mu\nu}. \tag{75}$$

Taking into consideration that only θ-component of the propagator

$$\mathcal{P}^{(\theta)} = -\frac{ak^2 + m^2}{\left(ak^2 + m^2\right)^2 - \frac{1}{b^2}k^6}, \tag{76}$$

contributes to the current-current transition amplitude, we study the residues at each simple pole of the $\mathcal{P}^{(\theta)}$ [39, 40].

We analyze the roots of the cubic equation

$$-\frac{1}{b^2}\left(k^2\right)^3 + a^2\left(k^2\right)^2 + 2am^2k^2 + m^4 = 0, \tag{77}$$

whose discriminant is

$$\mathrm{D} = 4\frac{m^8}{b^4}\left(-a^3\frac{b^2}{m^2} - \frac{27}{4}\right). \tag{78}$$

For $a = 1$ (Maxwell's term with usual sign) the discriminant is less than zero and the equation has one real root and two complex conjugate roots. Also, for $a = -1$ (Maxwell's term with the wron sign) the roots of the equation (77) are complex unless $\frac{b^2}{m^2} \geq \frac{27}{4}$. In the limit case $\frac{b^2}{m^2} = \frac{27}{4}$ the roots coalesce and are

$$k_1^2 = k_2^2 = 4k_3^2 = 3m^2. \tag{79}$$

Therefore, if $a=-1$ and $\frac{b^2}{m^2}>\frac{27}{4}$ the equation has three distinct real roots. In [42] (see also [43]) the equation (77) for $a=-1$ was obtained from the pole propagator of the ECSPMG model, where it was noted that if $\frac{b^2}{m^2}>\frac{27}{4}$ then the three distinct real roots are all positive. The absence of the tachyons in a theory is provided by the existence of only positive poles, and consequently the MECS-Proca model is free of the tachyons for $a=-1$ and $\frac{b^2}{m^2}>\frac{27}{4}$. After the analyze of the signs of the residues at each simple pole of θ-component of the propagator, we obtain that not all residues have the same sign. The signs of the residues at each simple pole of θ-component of the propagator tell us whether the ghosts excitations arise and therefore the MECS-Proca model is plagued by ghosts. We notice that the same problems from the ECSPMG theory about the presence of ghosts and tachyon excitations are also present here, in the MECS-Proca model.

3.2 The construction of the first-class system

Imposing the constraints (50)–(51) strongly zero and eliminating the unphysical sector $\{\xi^{\mu},\Pi_{\mu}\}$, the reduced phase space being locally parameterized by $\{A_{\mu},B_{\mu},p^{\mu},\pi^{\mu}\}$, we arrive at a system subject to the second-class constraints

$$\chi_i^{(1)} \equiv \pi_i+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j-\partial^jA^0\right)\approx 0, \tag{80}$$

$$\chi^{(1)} \equiv \pi_0-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^iA^j\approx 0, \tag{81}$$

$$\chi^{(2)} \equiv -p_0-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^iB^j\approx 0, \tag{82}$$

$$\chi^{(3)} \equiv -\partial_ip^i+m^2A_0-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial^iA^j\approx 0, \tag{83}$$

$$\chi^{(4)} \equiv m^2\partial_iA^i+m^2B_0\approx 0, \tag{84}$$

while the canonical Hamiltonian (58) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_c = \int d^2x\Big[&\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}+\frac{a}{2}\left(B_i-\partial_iA_0\right)\left(B^i-\partial^iA^0\right)\\ &-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\partial_k\partial^kA^0\right)\partial^iA^j-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k\partial^kA^i\right)B^j\\ &-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_k\partial^kA^i\right)\partial^jA^0+p^{\mu}B_{\mu}+\frac{m^2}{2}A_{\mu}A^{\mu}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

The nontrivial Poisson brackets between the constraints functions are listed bellow

$$\left[\chi_i^{(1)}(x),\chi_j^{(1)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=\frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{86}$$

$$\left[\chi_i^{(1)}(x),\chi^{(2)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=\frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^j\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{87}$$

$$\left[\chi^{(1)}(x),\chi^{(4)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=-m^2\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{88}$$

$$\left[\chi^{(2)}(x),\chi^{(3)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=m^2\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}), \tag{89}$$

$$\left[\chi^{(3)}(x),\chi^{(4)}(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0}=-m^2\partial_k\partial^k\delta^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}). \tag{90}$$

If we make the following linear combination of the constraints $\chi^{(2)}\approx 0$ and $\chi_i^{(1)}\approx 0$

$$\bar{\chi}^{(2)}=\chi^{(2)}+\partial^i\chi_i^{(1)}\approx 0, \tag{91}$$

the matrix of the Poisson bracket among the constraints functions becomes

$$C_{\alpha_0\beta_0}=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & m^2 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & -m^2 & 0 & -m^2\partial_k\partial^k \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 & m^2\partial_k\partial^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{92}$$

We notice that the constraints $\chi_i^{(1)}\approx 0$ generate a submatrix (of the matrix of the Poisson brackets among the constraints functions) of maximum rank, therefore they form an independent subset of second-class constraints. Thus in the sequel we examine from the point of view of the GU method only the constraints $\chi_A\equiv\left\{\chi^{(1)},\bar{\chi}^{(2)},\chi^{(3)},\chi^{(4)}\right\}\approx 0$.

The second-class constraints set $\chi_A\approx 0$ cannot be straightforwardly separated in two subsets such that one of them being first-class and the other providing some canonical gauge conditions for the first-class subset. To make this possible, we write the constraints set in an equivalent form

$$\chi'_A=E_{AB}\chi_B, \tag{93}$$

where E_{AB} is an invertible matrix

$$E_{AB}=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial_k\partial^k}{m^2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{m^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{m^2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{94}$$

The concrete form of the constraints $\chi'_A\approx 0$ is

$$\chi'^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{m^2}\left(\partial_i p^i-m^2A_0+\partial_k\partial^k\pi_0\right)\approx 0, \tag{95}$$

$$\chi'^{(2)} \equiv -p_0+\partial_i\pi^i\approx 0, \tag{96}$$

$$\chi'^{(3)} \equiv -\pi_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^iA^j\approx 0, \tag{97}$$

$$\chi'^{(4)} \equiv \partial_iA^i+B_0\approx 0, \tag{98}$$

with the matrix of the Poisson brackets among the constraints functions expressed by

$$C_{AB}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{99}$$

Examining the structure of the constraints set (95)–(98) we notice that, in the constraints $\chi'^{(1)} \approx 0$ and $\chi'^{(2)} \approx 0$ we find the reminiscence of the structure of the constraints set of MCS-Proca model (3)–(4), while the constrains $\chi'^{(3)} \approx 0$ and $\chi'^{(4)} \approx 0$ have no counterparts. It has proved in Ref. [20] that for a dynamical system subject to the second-class constraints $\{\chi_{\alpha_0} \approx 0\}_{\alpha_0=\overline{1,2M_0}}$, the subsets $\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_{M_0}\}$ and $\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_{M_0-1}, \chi_{M_0+1}\}$ of the full set of constraints are first-class sets on Σ_{2M_0}. According to the above, we consider the subset $G_a \equiv \left\{\chi'^{(1)},\ \chi'^{(3)}\right\}$ as the first-class constraints set and the remaining constraints $C_a \equiv \left\{\chi'^{(2)},\ \chi'^{(4)}\right\}$ as the corresponding canonical gauge conditions.

Starting from the canonical Hamiltonian of the original second-class system we construct a first-class Hamiltonian with respect to the first-class subset in two steps [22]. First, we construct the first-class Hamiltonian with respect to the constraint $G_1 \approx 0$

$$\begin{aligned} H^1_{GU} &= H_c - C_1\left[G_1, H_c\right] + \frac{1}{2}C_1C_1\left[G_1\left[G_1, H_c\right]\right] - \cdots \\ &= H_c + \int d^2x \left[\left(-p_0 + \partial_i\pi^i\right)\left(\partial_k A^k + B_0\right)\right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{m^2}\left(-p_0 + \partial_i\pi^i\right)\partial_k\partial^k\left(p_0 + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0lm}\partial^l B^m\right) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2m^2}\left(-p_0 + \partial_i\pi^i\right)\partial_k\partial^k\left(-p_0 + \partial_j\pi^j\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

and then, with this at hand, we obtain the first-class Hamiltonian with respect to the constraint $G_2 \approx 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{GU} \\ &= H^1_{GU} - C_2\left[G_2, H^1_{GU}\right] + \frac{1}{2}C_2C_2\left[G_2\left[G_2, H^1_{GU}\right]\right] - \cdots \\ &= H^1_{GU} - \int d^2x \left[\left(\partial_i A^i + B_0\right)\partial^j\left(\pi_j + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}B^k\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

The Hamiltonian gauge algebra relations are given by

$$[G_1, H_{GU}] = [G_2, H_{GU}] = 0. \tag{102}$$

The equations of motion are

$$\dot{A}_0 = -\frac{1}{m}\partial_i\left[mA^i + \frac{1}{m}\partial^i\left(p_0 + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j B^k\right)\right], \tag{103}$$

$$\dot{A}_i = B_i - \frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\Lambda^1, \tag{104}$$

$$\dot{p}^0 = -\frac{a}{2}\partial_i\left(B^i - \partial^i A^0\right) + \frac{3}{4b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial_i A_j + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i p^i - m^2A^0 + \Lambda^1, \tag{105}$$

$$\dot{p}^i = a\partial_j\partial^{[j}A^{i]} - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k B_j + \frac{1}{b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial_j A_0 \tag{106}$$

$$-m\left[mA^i+\frac{1}{m}\partial^i\left(p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j B^k\right)\right]-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_j\Lambda^2, \tag{107}$$

$$\dot{B}_0 = -\Lambda^2+\frac{1}{m^2}\partial_k\partial^k\Lambda^1, \tag{108}$$

$$\dot{B}_i = -ab\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j-\partial^j A^0\right)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_k\partial^k A_i-\frac{1}{2}\partial_i B_0-b\varepsilon_{0ij}p^j$$
$$-\partial_i\partial^j\left[mA_j+\frac{1}{m}\partial_j\left(p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0kl}\partial^k B^l\right)\right], \tag{109}$$

$$\dot{\pi}_0 = \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i B^j. \tag{110}$$

where Λ^1 and Λ^2 are some arbitrary functions. Under the gauge fixing conditions

$$B_0+\partial_i A^i\approx 0, \qquad p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i B^j\approx 0, \tag{111}$$

($\Lambda^1=0$ and $\Lambda^2=\partial_i B^i$) the equations (103)–(110) return to the equations of motion for the MECS-Proca model.

The number of physical degrees of freedom of the dynamical system with the phase space locally parameterized by $\{A_\mu,\ B_\mu,\ p^\mu,\ \pi^\mu\}$, subject to the second-class constraints (80) and first-class constraints (95) and (97) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{N}}_{GU} &= (12 \text{ canonical variables} - 2\text{scc} - 2\times 2 \text{ fcc})/2\\ &= 3=\bar{\mathcal{N}}_O.\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

3.3 Stückelberg coupling

Based on the equivalence between the first-class system and the original second-class theory, we replace the Hamiltonian path integral of the MECS-Proca model with that of the first-class system. The Hamiltonian path integral of the first-class system constructed in the above reads as

$$\begin{aligned}Z = &\int\mathcal{D}\left(A_\mu,B_\mu,p^\mu,\pi^\mu,\lambda^{(1)},\lambda^{(2)}\right)\mu\left([A_\mu],[B_\mu]\right)\\ &\times\delta\left[\pi_i+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j-\partial^j A^0\right)\right]\det{}^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\delta(x-y)\right)\\ &\times\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\int d^3x\left[\left(\partial_0 A_\mu\right)p^\mu+\left(\partial_0 B_\mu\right)\pi^\mu-\mathcal{H}_{GU}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\left(\partial_i p^i-m^2A_0+\partial_k\partial^k\pi_0\right)-\lambda^{(2)}\left(-\pi_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j\right)\right]\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

where the integration measure '$\mu\left([A_\mu],[B_\mu]\right)$' includes some suitable canonical gauge conditions. Performing partial integration over the momenta π_i in the path integral, we get to the argument of the exponential in the form

$$S_{GU} = \int d^3x\left\{\left(\partial_0 A_\mu\right)p^\mu+\left(\partial_0 B_0\right)\pi^0-\frac{1}{2b}\left(\partial_0 B_i\right)\varepsilon^{0ij}\left(B_j-\partial_j A_0\right)\right.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}-\frac{a}{2}\left(B_i-\partial_i A_0\right)\left(B^i-\partial^i A^0\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^0\right)\partial^i A^j+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)\left(B^j-\partial^j A^0\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[mA_i+\frac{1}{m}\partial_i\left(p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j B^k\right)\right]\\
&\times\left[mA^i+\frac{1}{m}\partial^i\left(p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ln}\partial^l B^n\right)\right]-p^iB_i-\frac{m^2}{2}A_0A^0\\
&+\frac{1}{2b}B^0\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j B^k-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\left(\partial_i p^i-m^2A_0+\partial_k\partial^k\pi_0\right)\\
&-\lambda^{(2)}\left(-\pi_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j\right)\Bigg\}. \qquad (114)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integration over p^i leads to a δ function of the form

$$
\delta\left(\partial_0 A_i-B_i+\frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\lambda^{(1)}\right), \qquad (115)
$$

which permits calculation of the integral over B_i. Performing partial integration over Lagrange multiplier $\lambda^{(2)}$ and π_0, the argument of the exponential becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{GU} \;=\; &\int d^3x\Bigg\{\left(\partial_0 A_0\right)\left(p^0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i\partial_0 A_j\right)-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}\\
&-\frac{a}{2}\left[\partial_0 A_i-\partial_i\left(A_0-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\right)\right]\left[\partial^0 A^i-\partial^i\left(A^0-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\right)\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda\left(A^0-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\right)\partial^i A^j+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A^i\right)\partial^0 A^j\\
&+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A^i\right)\partial^j\left(A^0-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\right)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left[mA_i+\frac{1}{m}\partial_i\left(p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j\partial^0 A^k\right)\right]\\
&\times\left[mA^i+\frac{1}{m}\partial^i\left(p_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ln}\partial^l\partial^0 A^n\right)\right]-\frac{m^2}{2}A_0A^0+\lambda^{(1)}A_0\Bigg\}. \qquad (116)
\end{aligned}
$$

Making the notations

$$
\varphi=-\frac{1}{m}\left(p^0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\partial_i\partial_0 A_j\right),\quad \bar{A}_0=A_0-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}, \qquad (117)
$$

and integrating over Lagrange multiplier $\lambda^{(1)}$, the argument of the exponential from the Hamiltonian path integral takes a manifestly Lorentz-covariant form

$$
S_{GU} \;=\; \int d^3x\left[-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\bar{A}_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\bar{A}^{\nu]}+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda\bar{A}^\mu\right)\partial^\nu\bar{A}^\rho\right.
$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\varphi - m\bar{A}_\mu\right)\left(\partial^\mu\varphi - m\bar{A}^\mu\right)\Big], \tag{118}$$

where $\bar{A}_\mu = \left\{\bar{A}_0, A_i\right\}$, and describes a Stückelberg coupling between the scalar field φ and the 1-form $\bar{A}_\mu$. It is obvious that (118) is a higher derivative extension of the result obtained in the previous section (a higher derivative extension involving the CS term). Similar to MCS-Proca model, we find that to Stückelberg scalar corresponds a combination of original fields A_i and momentum p^0.

The canonical analysis of the model described by the Lagrangian action (118) displays the constraints (the phase space is locally parameterized by $\{A_\mu, p^\mu, B_\mu, \pi^\mu, \varphi, p\}$)

$$\chi_i \equiv \pi_i + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j - \partial^j A^0\right) \approx 0 \tag{119}$$

$$G_1 \equiv \pi_0 - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j \approx 0, \tag{120}$$

$$G_2 \equiv -p_0 + \partial_i\pi^i \approx 0, \tag{121}$$

$$G_3 \equiv -\partial_i p^i + mp - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial^i A^j \approx 0, \tag{122}$$

and the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H = \int d^2x \Big[& \frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} + \frac{a}{2}\left(B_i - \partial_i A_0\right)\left(B^i - \partial^i A^0\right) \\ & -\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^0\right)\partial^i A^j - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)B^j - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)\partial^j A^0 \\ & -p^\mu B_\mu - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + mA^0 p + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\varphi - mA_i\right)\left(\partial^i\varphi - mA^i\right)\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

The constraints (119) are second-class and the other three constraints are first-class. In order to recover the MECS-Proca model we chose the gauge conditions

$$C^1 \equiv \varphi \approx 0, \quad C^2 \equiv A_0 \approx 0, \quad C^3 \equiv B_0 \approx 0 \tag{124}$$

such that $\left\{G_\Delta, C^{\Delta'}\right\}_{\Delta,\Delta'=\overline{1,3}}$ form a second-class constraints set and the Hamiltonian path integral is convergent. The Hamiltonian path integral of the gauge system (118) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z = & \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_\mu, p^\mu, B_\mu, \pi^\mu, \varphi, p\right)\delta\left(\chi_i\right)\delta\left(G_\Delta\right)\delta\left(C^{\Delta'}\right) \\ & \times \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\int d^3x\left[\left(\partial_0 A_\mu\right)p^\mu + \left(\partial_0 B_\mu\right)\pi^\mu + \left(\partial_0\varphi\right)p - \mathcal{H}\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

We integrate over the momenta $\{\pi_i, \pi_0, p_0\}$ and fields $\{\varphi, A_0\}$ and represent $\delta\left(-\partial_i p^i + mp - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial^i A^j\right)$ in the form of integral functional

$$\int \mathcal{D}\lambda \exp\left\{-\mathrm{i}\int d^3x\lambda\left(-\partial_i p^i + mp - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial^i A^j\right)\right\}. \tag{126}$$

The path integral takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_i, p^i, B_\mu, p, \lambda\right) \delta\left(C^3\right) \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left[\left(\partial_0 A_i\right) p^i \right.\right. \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\left(\partial_0 B_i\right) B_j + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\left(\partial^0 B_0\right)\partial_i A_j - \frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} - \frac{a}{2}B_i B^i \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k \partial^k A^i\right) B^j + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}B^0\partial^i B^j - p_i B^i + \frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}A_i A^i \\ &\quad \left.\left. -\lambda\left(-\partial_i p^i + mp - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\partial^i A^j\right)\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{127}$$

Integration over p^i leads to a δ function of the form

$$\delta\left(\partial_0 A_i - B_i - \partial_i \lambda\right), \tag{128}$$

which permits calculation of the integral over B_i. After integration over the momentum p and field B_0, the path integral read as

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_i, \lambda\right) \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left(-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]} \right.\right. \\ &\quad -\frac{a}{2}\left(\partial_0 A_i - \partial_i\lambda\right)\left(\partial^0 A^i - \partial^i\lambda\right) + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{i0j}\left(\partial_\mu\partial^\mu A_i\right)\partial_0 A_j \\ &\quad \left.\left. +\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{0ij}\left(\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\lambda\right)\partial_i A_j + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{ij0}\left(\partial_\mu\partial^\mu A_i\right)\partial_j\lambda - \frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu\right)\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{129}$$

Making the notation $A_0 = \lambda$ the argument of the exponential from the Hamiltonian path integral is exactly the MECS-Proca Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}A_\mu \exp\left\{ \mathrm{i} \int d^3x \left(-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}A^{\nu]} \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. +\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A_\mu\right)\partial_\nu A_\rho - \frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

3.4 Chern-Simons coupling

In the sequel we show that the MECS-Proca model may be related to another first-class theory. Starting from the GU system constructed in the above, subject to the second-class constraints (80), the first-class constraints (95) and (97) and whose evolution is governed by the first-class Hamiltonian (101), we consider the following fields/momenta combinations

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \equiv A_0, \quad \mathcal{F}_i \equiv A_i + \frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\left(p_0 - \partial_j\pi^j\right), \tag{131}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i \equiv p_i - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k A^j - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^j B^0, \quad \mathcal{B}_i \equiv B_i, \tag{132}$$

which are in (strong) involution with first-class constraints $G_a \approx 0$

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_0, G_a\right] = \left[\mathcal{F}_i, G_a\right] = \left[\mathcal{P}_i, G_a\right] = \left[\mathcal{B}_i, G_a\right] = 0, \tag{133}$$

and, moreover, $\mathcal{F}_\mu \equiv \{\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_i\}$ is divergenceless on the surface $\chi_i^{(1)} \approx 0$

$$\partial^\mu \mathcal{F}_\mu = \mathcal{O}\left(\chi_i^{(1)}\right). \tag{134}$$

Similarly to the case of the MCS-Proca model, the first-class Hamiltonian (101) can be written in terms of these quantities

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GU} &= \int d^2x \left[\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}\mathcal{F}_{j]}\partial^{[i}\mathcal{F}^{j]} + \frac{a}{2}\left(\mathcal{B}_i - \partial_i\mathcal{F}_0\right)\left(\mathcal{B}^i - \partial^i\mathcal{F}^0\right)\right. \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\partial_k\partial^k\mathcal{F}^0\right)\partial^i\mathcal{F}^j - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_k\partial^k\mathcal{F}^i\right)\partial^j\mathcal{F}^0 \\ &\quad \left.+\frac{m^2}{2}\mathcal{F}_i\mathcal{F}^i + \frac{m^2}{2}\mathcal{F}_0\mathcal{F}^0 + \mathcal{B}^i\mathcal{P}_i - \left(\partial^i\mathcal{F}_i\right)\partial^j\chi_j^{(1)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{135}$$

Enlarging the phase space by adding the bosonic pairs $\{V^\mu, P_\mu\}$, the solution to the Eq. (134) takes the form

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu = -\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\partial^\nu V^\rho. \tag{136}$$

When we replace the solution (136) in (95), the constraint takes the form

$$\frac{1}{m^2}\left(\partial_i p^i + m\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i V^j + \partial_k\partial^k\pi_0\right) \approx 0, \tag{137}$$

and remains first-class. Computing the Poisson bracket among the quantity $\partial_i p_0$ and first-class constraint (95) and the Poisson bracket between P_i and (137), we obtain that these two quantities are correlated through the relation

$$\partial_i p_0 = m\varepsilon_{0ij}P^j. \tag{138}$$

Using the relations (136) and (138), we write the first-class Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} H'_{GU} &= \int d^2x \left\{\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}\right. \\ &\quad +\frac{a}{2}\left[B_i + \frac{1}{m}\partial_i\left(\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j V^k\right)\right]\left[B^i + \frac{1}{m}\partial^i\left(\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right)\right] \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right)\partial^i A^j - \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)B^j \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)\partial^j\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right) + \frac{1}{4}\partial^{[i}V^{j]}\partial_{[i}V_{j]} \\ &\quad +\frac{m^2}{2}\left(A_i + \frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0ij}P^j - \frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\partial_j\pi^j\right)\left(A^i + \frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0il}P_l - \frac{1}{m^2}\partial^i\partial^l\pi_l\right) \\ &\quad -\partial^i\left(A_i + \frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0ik}P^k - \frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\partial_k\pi^k\right)\partial^j\left(\pi_j + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}B^k\right) \\ &\quad \left.-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}B^0\partial^j B^k + p^i B_i\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

If we count the number of physical degrees of freedom of the system with the phase space locally parameterized by $\left\{A_i, B_\mu, V^\mu, p^i, \pi^\mu, P_\mu\right\}$ subject to the second-class constraints (80), first-class constraints (97) and (137) and whose evolution is governed by the first-class Hamiltonian (139), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{N}}'_{GU} &= (16 \text{ canonical variables} - 2\text{scc} - 2\times 2 \text{ fcc})/2 \\ &= 5 \neq \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{GU}. \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

Imposing the first-class constraints

$$-\partial^i P_i \approx 0, \qquad P_0 \approx 0, \tag{141}$$

the number of physical degrees of freedom is conserved

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{N}}'_{GU} &= (16 \text{ canonical variables} - 2\text{scc} - 2\times 4 \text{ fcc})/2 \\ &= 3 = \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{GU}. \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

For each first-class theory, derived in the above, we are able to identify a set of fundamental classical observables such that they are in one-to-one correspondence and they possess the same Poisson brackets. Since the number of physical degrees of freedom is the same for both theories and the corresponding algebras of classical observables are isomorphic, the previously exposed procedure preserves the equivalence between the two first-class theories. As a result, the GU and the first-class system remain equivalent also at the level of the Hamiltonian path integral quantization. This further implies that the first-class system is completely equivalent with the MECS-Proca model. Due to this equivalence we can replace the Hamiltonian path integral of the MECS-Proca model with that one associated with the first-class system

$$\begin{aligned} Z' &= \int \mathcal{D}\left(A_i, B_\mu, V^\mu, p^i, \pi^\mu, P_\mu, \lambda' s\right) \mu\left([A_i], [B_\mu], [V^\mu]\right) \\ &\times\delta\left[\pi_i + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(B^j + \frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0kl}\partial^j\partial_k V_l\right)\right] \det{}^{1/2}\left(\frac{1}{b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\delta(x-y)\right) \\ &\times \exp\left\{\mathrm{i}\int d^3x \left[\left(\partial_0 A_i\right) p^i + \left(\partial_0 B_\mu\right)\pi^\mu + \left(\partial_0 V^\mu\right) P_\mu - \mathcal{H}'_{GU}\right.\right. \\ &-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\left(\partial_i p^i + m\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i V^j + \partial_k\partial^k\pi_0\right) \\ &\left.\left.-\lambda^{(2)}\left(-\pi_0 + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j\right) + \lambda^{(3)}\partial^i P_i - \lambda^{(4)} P_0\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

After a partial integration over the momenta π_i in the path integral, the argument of the exponential read as

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{GU} &= \int d^3x \left\{\left(\partial_0 A_i\right) p^i + \left(\partial_0 B_0\right)\pi^0 + \left(\partial_0 V^\mu\right) P_\mu \right. \\ &\left. +\frac{1}{2b}\left(\partial_0 B_i\right)\varepsilon^{0ij}\left[-B_j - \partial_j\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0kl}\partial_k V_l\right)\right] - \frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}\right. \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{a}{2}\left[B_i+\partial_i\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j V^k\right)\right]\left[B^i+\partial^i\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right)\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_k\partial^k\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right)\partial^i A^j+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)B^j\\
&-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_k\partial^k A^i\right)\partial^j\left(\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right)-\frac{1}{4}\partial^{[i}V^{j]}\partial_{[i}V_{j]}\\
&-\frac{m^2}{2}\left[A_i+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0ij}P^j+\frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\left(\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j B^k\right)\right]\\
&\times\left[A^i+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0il}P_l+\frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\left(\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ln}\partial^l B^n\right)\right]\\
&+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0jk}B^0\partial^j B^k-p^i B_i-\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}\left(\partial_i p^i+m\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i V^j+\partial_k\partial^k\pi_0\right)\\
&-\lambda^{(2)}\left(-\pi_0+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial^i A^j\right)+\lambda^{(3)}\partial^i P_i-\lambda^{(4)}P_0\Bigg\}. \qquad (144)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integration over p^i leads to a δ function of the form

$$
\delta\left(\partial_0 A_i-B_i+\frac{1}{m^2}\partial_i\lambda^{(1)}\right), \qquad (145)
$$

which permits calculation of the integral over B_i. Performing partial integration over the field V_0, momenta $\{\pi_0,P_0,P_i\}$ and Lagrange multipliers $\left\{\lambda^{(2)},\lambda^{(4)}\right\}$, the argument of the exponential from the Hamiltonian path integral reads as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S'_{GU} \;=\; &\int d^3x\left\{-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[i}A_{j]}\partial^{[i}A^{j]}-\frac{a}{2}\left[\partial_0 A_i+\partial_i\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j V^k\right)\right]\right.\\
&\times\left[\partial^0 A^i+\partial^i\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0ln}\partial_l V_n\right)\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{0ij}\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0kl}\partial_k V_l\right)\partial^i A^j+\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{i0j}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A^i\right)\partial^0 A^j\\
&-\frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{ij0}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda A^i\right)\partial^j\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0kl}\partial_k V_l\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\partial_{[i}V_{j]}\partial^{[i}V^{j]}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_0 V_i-\partial_i\lambda^{(3)}\right)\left(\partial^0 V^i-\partial^i\lambda^{(3)}\right)\\
&-m\varepsilon_{0ij}\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon^{0kl}\partial_k V_l\right)\left(\partial^i V^j\right)\\
&\left.+m\varepsilon_{i0j}A^i\left(\partial^0 V^j-\partial^j\lambda^{(3)}\right)\right\}. \qquad (146)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the notations

$$
\bar{A}_0=-\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\lambda^{(1)}+\frac{1}{m}\varepsilon_{0jk}\partial^j V^k\right), \qquad \bar{V}_0=\lambda^{(3)}, \qquad (147)
$$

the argument of the exponential from the Hamiltonian path integral takes a manifestly Lorentz-covariant form

$$S'_{GU} = \int d^3x \left[-\frac{a}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\bar{A}_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\bar{A}^{\nu]} + \frac{1}{2b}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\left(\partial_\lambda\partial^\lambda\bar{A}^\mu\right)\partial^\nu\bar{A}^\rho \right.$$
$$\left. +\frac{1}{4}\partial_{[\mu}\bar{V}_{\nu]}\partial^{[\mu}\bar{V}^{\nu]} + m\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\bar{A}^\mu\partial^\nu\bar{V}^\rho \right], \quad (148)$$

where $\bar{A}_\mu = \left\{\bar{A}_0, A_i\right\}$ and $\bar{V}_\mu = \left\{\bar{V}_0, V_i\right\}$. The above functional describes a CS coupling between the 1-form $\bar{A}_\mu$ and the 1-form $\bar{V}_\mu$ and it is a higher derivative extension of the functional (45).

4 Conclusions

In this paper, the MCS-Proca model has been analyzed from the point of view of the Hamiltonian path integral quantization, in the framework of gauge-unfixing approach. The same quantization procedure was applied to a higher order derivative extension of MCS-Proca model. The first step of this approach is represented by the construction of an equivalent first-class system. In order to construct the equivalent first-class system with MECS-Proca model, we performed a partial gauge-unfixing (we maintained the second-class constraints (80)), meanwhile in the case of the MCS-Proca model we accomplished a total gauge-unfixing. Both models did not require extensions of the original phase space in order to construct the equivalent first-class systems. The second step involved the construction of the Hamiltonian path integral corresponding to the equivalent first-class system for each model. The Hamiltonian path integral of the first-class systems took a manifestly Lorentz-covariant form, after integrating out the auxiliary fields and performing some field redefinitions. Starting from the Hamiltonian path integral of the equivalent non-higher derivative first-class system, we arrived to the Lagrangian path integral corresponding to Stückelberg coupling between a scalar field and a 1-form or for an appropriate phase space extensions we identified the Lagrangian path integral for two kinds of 1-forms with CS coupling (a non-higher order derivative term). The results obtained in the case of MECS-Proca model are higher derivative extensions (involving the CS term) of the results obtained in the case of MCS-Proca model.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank E.M. Cioroianu for useful discussions and comments. I am very grateful to Prof. S. Deser for calling my attention to the Ref. [43].

References

[1] T.J. Allen, M.J. Bowick, A. Lahiri, Topological mass generation in 3+1 dimensions, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6**, 559 (1991)

[2] A.S. Vytheeswaran, Gauge unfixing in second class constrained systems, Annals of Physics **236**, 297 (1994)

[3] E.B. Park, Y.W. Kim, Y.J. Park, Y. Kim, W.T. Kim, Batalin-Tyutin quantization of the Chern-Simons-Proca theory, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10**, 1119 (1995). arXiv:hep-th/9504151

[4] N. Banerjee, R. Banerjee, S. Ghosh, Quantization of second class systems in the Batalin-Tyutin formalism, Annals of Physics **241**, 237 (1995). arXiv:hep-th/9403069

[5] H. Sawayanagi, Hamiltonian BRST quantization of an Abelian massive vector field with an antisymmetric tensor field, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **10**, 813 (1995)

[6] C. Bizdadea, S.O. Saliu, The BRST quantization of massive abelian two-form gauge fields, Phys. Lett. B **368**, 202 (1996)

[7] C. Bizdadea, Some remarks on the BRST quantization of massive Abelian two-form gauge fields, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 7138 (1996)

[8] C. Bizdadea, The hamiltonian BRST quantization of massive abelian p-form gauge fields, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29**, 3985 (1996)

[9] N. Banerjee, R. Banerjee, Generalized Hamiltonian embedding of the Proca model, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11**, 1919 (1996). arXiv:hep-th/9511212

[10] Y.W. Kim, M.I. Park, Y.J. Park, S.J. Yoon, BRST quantization of the Proca model based on the BFT and the BFV formalism, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 4217 (1997). arXiv:hep-th/9702002

[11] A.S. Vytheeswaran, Gauge invariances in the Proca model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **13**, 765 (1998). arXiv:hep-th/9701050

[12] S.T. Hong, Y.W. Kim, Y.J. Park, K.D. Rothe, Symplectic embedding and Hamilton-Jacobi analysis of Proca model, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 435 (2002). arXiv:hep-th/0112170

[13] H. Ruegg, M. Ruiz-Altaba, The Stueckelberg field, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 3265 (2004). arXiv:hep-th/0304245

[14] E.M. Cioroianu, S.C. Sararu, O. Balus, First-class approaches to massive 2-forms, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **25**, 185 (2010). arXiv:1001.5146

[15] E.M. Cioroianu, Note on the dynamics of a pseudo-classical spinning particle, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26**, 589 (2011)

[16] S.C. Sararu, Massive p-forms: first-class approaches, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **27**, 1250119 (2012)

[17] S.C. Sararu, On covariant quantization of the massive self-dual 3-forms in 7 dimensions, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51**, 2623 (2012)

[18] S.C. Sararu, From massive self-dual p-forms towards gauge p-forms, Cent. Eur. J. Phys. **11**, 59 (2013)

[19] K. Harada, H. Mukaida, Gauge invariance and systems with second class constraints, Z. Phys. C **48**, 151 (1990)

[20] P. Mitra, R. Rajaraman, New results on systems with second-class constraints, Annals of Physics **203**, 137 (1990)

[21] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1992)

[22] R. Anishettyt, A.S. Vytheeswaran, Gauge invariance in second-class constrained systems, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26**, 5613 (1993)

[23] C. Bizdadea, S.O. Saliu, The BRST quantization of second-class constrained systems, Nucl. Phys. B **456**, 473 (1995)

[24] L.D. Faddeev, S.L. Shatashvili, Realization of the Schwinger term in the Gauss law and the possibility of correct quantization of a theory with anomalies, Phys. Lett. B **167**, 225 (1986)

[25] I.A. Batalin, E.S. Fradkin, Operator quantization of dynamical systems with irreducible first- and second-class constraints, Phys. Lett. B **180**, 157 (1986)

[26] I.A. Batalin, E.S. Fradkin, Operational quantization of dynamical systems subject to second-class constraints, Nucl. Phys. B **279**, 514 (1987)

[27] I.A. Batalin, I.V. Tyutin, Existence theorem for the effective gauge algebra in the generalized canonical formalism with Abelian conversion of second-class constraints, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6**, 3255 (1991)

[28] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, "Self-duality" of topologically massive gauge theories, Phys. Lett. B **139**, 371 (1984)

[29] P.K. Townsend, K. Pilch, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Self-duality in odd dimensions, Phys. Lett. B **136**, 38 (1984)

[30] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, S. Templeton, Three-dimensional massive gauge theories, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982)

[31] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, S. Templeton, Topologically massive gauge theories, Annals of Physics **140**, 372 (1982)

[32] R. Banerjee, H.J. Rothe, K.D. Rothe, Equivalence of the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory and a self-dual model, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3750 (1995) `arXiv:hep-th/9504067`

[33] R. Banerjee, H.J. Rothe, K.D. Rothe, Hamiltonian embedding of the self-dual model and equivalence with Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6339 (1997). arXiv:hep-th/9611077

[34] R. Banerjee, H.J. Rothe, Batalin-Fradkin-Tyutin embedding of a self-dual model and the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory, Nucl. Phys. B **447**, 183 (1995). arXiv:hep-th/9504066

[35] L. Heisenberg, Generalization of the Proca action, JCAP **05**, 015 (2014). arXiv:1402.7026

[36] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, Higher derivative Chern-Simons extensions, Phys. Lett. B **451**, 73 (1999). arXiv:hep-th/9901125

[37] A. de Souza Dutra, C.P. Natividade, Class of self-dual models in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 027701 (2000). arXiv:hep-th/0002114

[38] D. Bazeia, R. Menezes, J.R. Nascimento, R.F. Ribeiro, C. Wotzasek, Dual equivalence in models with higher-order derivatives, J. Phys. A **36**, 9943 (2003). arXiv:hep-th/0210311

[39] A. Accioly, M. Diase, Algorithm for probing the unitarity of topologically massive models, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **44**, 1123 (2005). arXiv:hep-th/0511242

[40] A. Accioly, M. Diase, Is it physically sound to add a topologically massive term to three-dimensional massive electromagnetic or gravitational models?, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 559 (2006). arXiv:hep-th/0507186

[41] B. Podolsky, A Generalized Electrodynamics Part I-Non-Quantum , Phys. Rev. **62**, 68 (1942).

[42] C. Pinheiro, G.O. Pires, N. Tomimura, Some quantum aspects of three-dimensional Einstein-Chern-Simons-Proca massive gravity, Nuovo Cim. B **111**, 1023 (1996). arXiv:gr-qc/9704004

[43] S. Deser, B. Tekin, Massive, topologically massive, models, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, L97 (2002). arXiv:hep-th/0203273

[44] R. Banerjee, S. Kumar, Self-dual models and mass generation in planar field theory, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 125008 (2001). arXiv:hep-th/0007148

[45] R. Banerjee, B. Chakraborty, T. Scaria, Polarization vectors, doublet structure and Wigner's little group in planar field theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 3967 (2001). arXiv:hep-th/0011011

[46] M.V. Ostrogradsky, Memoires sur les equations differentielles relatives au probleme des isoperimetres, Mem. Ac. St. Petersbourg **VI**, 385 (1850)

[47] D.M. Gitman, S.L. Lyakhovich, I.V. Tyutin, Canonical quantization of the Yang-Mills lagrangian with higher derivatives, Sov. Phys. J. **28**, 554 (1985)

[48] D.M. Gitman, I.V. Tyutin, *Quantization of Fields with Constraints*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990)

[49] V.V. Nesterenko, Singular Lagrangians with higher derivatives, J. Phys. A **22**, 1673 (1989)

[50] S. Kumar, Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations of higher order Chern-Simons theories, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18**, 1613 (2003). arXiv:hep-th/0112121

[51] C.M. Reyes, Testing symmetries in effective models of higher derivative field theories, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 105008 (2009). arXiv:0901.1341

[52] R. Banerjee, P. Mukherjee, B. Paul, Gauge symmetry and W-algebra in higher derivative systems, J. High Energy Phys. **08**, 085 (2011). arXiv:1012.2969

[53] M.S. Plyushchay, Massive relativistic point particle with rigidity, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **4**, 3851 (1989)

[54] M.S. Plyushchay, The model of the relativistic particle with torsion, Nucl. Phys. B **362**, 54 (1991)

[55] P. Mukherjee, B. Paul, Gauge invariances of higher derivative Maxwell-Chern-Simons field theory: A new Hamiltonian approach, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 045028 (2012). arXiv:1111.0153

[56] B. Paul, Gauge symmetry and Virasoro algebra in quantum charged rigid membrane: A first order formalism, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 045003 (2013). arXiv:1212.5902

[57] R. Banerjee, P. Mukherjee, B. Paul, New Hamiltonian analysis of Regge-Teitelboim minisuperspace cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 043508 (2014). arXiv:1307.4920

[58] P. Dirac, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, Can. J. Math. **2**, 129 (1950)

[59] P. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, (Academic Press, New York, 1967)

[60] R. Ferraro, M. Henneaux, M. Puchin, On the quantization of reducible gauge systems, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 2757 (1993). arXiv:hep-th/9210070

[61] E.C.G. Stueckelberg, Interaction energy in electrodynamics and in the field theory of nuclear forces, Helv. Phys. Acta **11**, 225 (1938)

[62] C. Bizdadea, E.M. Cioroianu, S.O. Saliu, Irreducible Hamiltonian BRST approach to topologically coupled abelian forms, Phys. Scr. **60**, 120 (1999). arXiv:hep-th/9912201